

REMARKS

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 61-64 have been added to define transmissive type liquid crystal display devices of independent claims 1 and 14 with further details of independent claims 34 and 43. Support for the new claims may be found throughout the specification.

Claims 1-24 and 34-64 are pending. Claims 25-33 have been canceled, without prejudice.

An Information Disclosure Statement is also being filed concurrently. Return of an initialed copy of the Information Disclosure Citation form, pursuant to MPEP § 609, is requested.

Claims 1-24 and 34-60 are understood to be in condition for allowance, which the applicants acknowledge with appreciation. The remaining issues required in the Office Action of July 25, 2011 to obtain allowance are understood to be (1) non-statutory obviousness type double patenting over U.S. Patent Nos. 6,097,452, and (2) a Supplemental Reissue Declaration according to MPEP § 1402.¹ See pages 3-4 of the Office Action dated July 25, 2011.

The Examiner is requested to hold in abeyance the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1, 3, 14 and 16 over claims 1 and 16 of U.S. Patent 6,097,452 until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated, at which time the applicants will consider further appropriate action.

¹ The applicants note in response to the Examiner's comment in §3. on page 4 of the Office Action dated July 25, 2011 that all of the text claim 34 presented in the Amendment of April 22, 2011 was

The applicants understand that once a Reissue Declaration is filed stating an error as a basis of the reissue application, as well as the other required aspects of an original Reissue Declaration as detailed in 37 CFR 1.175(a), the applicants may request that submission of any required supplemental oath/declaration, such as is being required in §2. of pages 3- 4 of the Office Action dated July 25, 2011, be deferred until allowance. See MPEP § 1444. The applicants request deferral of the requirement to submit a supplemental oath/declaration in view of the claims added above. The applicants note the suggestion of MPEP § 1444 (II) that the “reissue applicant wait until the case is in condition for allowance, and then submit a cumulative supplemental reissue oath/declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1).” The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned by telephone, pursuant to MPEP § 1444, once the case is in condition for allowance, at which time the applicants will prepare and subsequently file the required further Supplemental Reissue Declaration, such as is described in MPEP § 1414.01 and § 1444.

The claims have been represented above in a format described in Rule 137(b)(2) and MPEP § 1453. The amendments shown above have been made relative to the patent, as required by Rule 137(g). Support and basis for the amended claims is described in the Remarks of the Amendment filed July 6, 2007. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone, in the event anything further is required with regard to the format of the amended and/or originally granted claims.

underlined. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone, in the event

SHIMADA et al
Appl. No. 10/771,263
Atty. Ref.: 829-620
Amendment
November 17, 2011

The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone, when the claims are found to be in condition for allowance, at which time the applicants will pursue preparation and execution of the supplemental Reissue Declaration and further consider action regarding the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. The Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned, preferably by telephone, in the event anything further is required.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /B. J. Sadoff/
 B. J. Sadoff
 Reg. No. 36,663

BJS:
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

anything further is required in this regard.